### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Civil Action No.: 17-10432-DJC

AMANDA ARNOLD, Plaintiff,

VS.

THE WOODS HOLE, MARTHA'S VINEYARD AND NANTUCKET STEAMSHIP AUTHORITY, Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL BRIEF

Now comes the Plaintiff in the above entitled matter and by and through her attorneys submits Plaintiff's Trial Brief seven days before trial pursuant to Local Rule 16.5(f).

#### **ARGUMENT**

#### A. Maritime Law

Plaintiff agrees with Defendant that maritime law applies. In maritime cases, a defendant owes a duty of ordinary reasonable care under the circumstances. *Everett v. Carnival Cruise Lines*, 912 F. 2d 1355, 1358 (11th Cir. 1990). Therefore, in this case the Court should hold that Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of ordinary, reasonable care under the circumstances and charge the jury accordingly.

The Court should also consider the maritime rule regarding comparative negligence.

Under Massachusetts law, a plaintiff cannot recover if the plaintiff is found to be more than 50% negligent. M.G.L. ch. 231, § 85; see also Carey v. Bahamas Cruise Lines, 864 F.2d 201, 207 (1st Cir. 1988). However, when applying maritime law, courts are to apply the pure comparative negligence standard and a plaintiff's negligence is considered only with respect to the mitigation of damages. *Id.* at 205 (citing *United States v. Reliable Transfer Co.*, 421 U.S. 397, 407, 95

Case 1:17-cv-10432-DJC Document 113 Filed 01/07/19 Page 2 of 3

S.Ct. 1708, 1713, 44 L.Ed.2d 251 (1975); *Kermarec v. Compagnie Generale Transatlantique*, 358 U.S. 625, 629, 79 S.Ct. 406, 409, 3 L.Ed.2d 550 (1959)). Therefore, in this case, the Court

should apply pure comparative negligence when charging the jury.

**B.** Conclusion

For all the foregoing reasons, the Court should apply maritime substantive law, and charge

the jury that Defendant owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care and when determining the

damages, the Court should apply the pure modified comparative negligence standard.

Dated: January 7, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

Plaintiff's Counsel

By: /s/ Paul Pennock
Weitz & Luxenberg
Pro Hac Vice
700 Broadway,
New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5549

ppennock@weitzlux.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 7, 2019, that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record by electronic mail through the U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts, electronic case filing system.

## WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: /s/ Paul Pennock
Weitz & Luxenberg
Pro Hac Vice
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5549
ppennock@weitzlux.com